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MS. HENDERSON: Hello. Okay. Henderson, $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$.

I'm from Grass Valley, and I'm currently serving as Nevada County Bureau President.

I moved here in the '60s with my parents when they opened up a hardware store downtown Mill Street with my grandparents. Grew up on Mill Street, but before that we've been traveling up and to the lake, French Lake in the High Sierra, and actually I went through Jackson Meadows when it was a meadow before it became a lake, and it was beautiful then, but it's also beautiful now as a lake full of fish and its recreation and camping area.

But more importantly, their reservoirs, all of those lakes, and they catch the water, as we heard, from the engineer in regards to why it's important that we catch this water so that we have it for irrigation purposes.

It's very similar to a bank account, if you will. Used when reservoirs get low. To run a business without a bank account, it's dangerous and reckless, and just pretending we don't need anymore reservoirs to catch water for a growing population.

Currently my husband and I have 12 acres of property where we raise lambs. We have our own
garden and utilize -- sheep and goats on the property in combination with the irrigated pastures to keep our small acreage a fire safe area for our neighborhood, as well as growing most of our own food.

I am a biologist, an animal scientist and a teacher by trade, and in pretty simple science I understand that water falls in winter and spring, and it's hot and dry in the summer with our Mediterranean climate.

We need a large drink of water to supply our local food here in California to keep fresh water flowing to our homes.

Agriculture can be amazing -- is amazing in our community and has been for a hundred years and over, and remains will keep us strong, our lands healthy and plenty of open space, using grazing as a tool to keep our properties fire safe and a food supply for our local citizens.

To grow food, we need a reliable water supply and support as farm bureau of this Centennial reservoir as an aid in making sure we keep a healthy bank of water.

Thank you.
MR. RYLAND: I'm going to face the audience, rather than the NID folks because you are the people who
ultimately will be greatly impacted by this project.
Can you hear me loud enough? Thank you.
My name is David Ryland, R-Y-L-A-N-D.
I am 20-year resident of Meadow Vista. I've been a property owner in Placer County for 30 years. I've been a private businessman in Placer County for 40 years.

My family has been in the west slope of California since the '60s, the 1860 's, as someone mentioned at last night's meeting.

By the way, how many folks were at the meeting last night?

Great. There are some things I want to share.
I have a fisheries degree from Humboldt State University. As I mentioned, I am a private businessman. I appreciate the need we have to continue to develop resources for our economy. However, I do believe that services are a 19th Century solution with a 21 st Century problem.

I do have a few questions for the District, some things that were brought up last night.

I would like to know what percent of NID's total captured water is actually consumed by the folks within the Nevada Irrigation District.

It was stated at the beginning of this
presentation that NID is operated by and for the landowners. And I would hope that the motivation and the consumption that are intended for this reservoir are directed for that purpose.

I would like to know to what extent this project is envisioned by, supported and perhaps funded by downstream. To what extent are the waters to be captured by the surface impoundment going to be used in areas far removed from our locale.

Also, I don't understand the statement of environment releases, almost equal treated water releases. This was shared last night.

When you looked at the flows in the Ancestral River Channel as compare to the amount of water flowing down the Bear River canal, I would have to assume those environmental discharges are intended for uses far downstream.

Now, the District claims a right of priority gained back in 1927. And it is on that basis that this project is being forwarded.

It was mentioned in last night's meeting that at the time that project was first proposed, there was no Rollins reservoir, there was no Combie reservoir, and if that, in fact, is true, we have to consider that creating this reservoir today is asking to do something
to this stream that is very different from the circumstances that existed in 1927.

I do believe that an alternative technology or system of water retention that is not fully considered here is recharging our aquifers.

And at last night's meeting we had a Mr. Binner, $B-I-N-N-E-R$, who was, $I$ believe, Class III Water Operator from the Roseville Water District, perhaps retired, so he feels comfortable speak speaking openly, and he stated that in Roseville they are recharging the aquifers there, and we have significantly drawn down the water table throughout the Central Valley basin, and we have to consider the significant loss of water due to evaporation when we utilize surfacing impoundment.

Last of all, one aspect of this project that I fear will not be seriously considered because as I watch the FERC re-licensing of the Bear/Yuba project last year, the whole issue of considering restoration of our fisheries, salmon head, steelhead was missing on a cursory basis. It's very clear that neither NID nor any of the large power operators on the West really have any desire or concern about recreating what has been an ancestral and huge environmental aspect of the Central Valley ecosystem.

And when you consider that for millennia, Mother Nature has delivered back to terrestrial ecosystems tens of thousands of tons of nutrients by the transmission of expiring fish, and we have completely deprived our current ecosystems of what has been traditionally and ancestrally correct. I think that's sad.

I think that's all I have to say. Thank you.
MR. LITTON: L-I-T-T-O-N.
I was on the mic last night, but afterwards I realized that I did it wrong, so I want to make some corrections.

I first want to begin with gratitude because I am extremely grateful for everything that NID does. I spent a lot of time out of the country, and every time I come back and able to drink this amazing water that you bring, I am very, very grateful, and I can't imagine the amount of work that you must go through simply to keep the pipes running, and 490 miles of water must be quite an immense project, so I'm very, very grateful for everything that you do.

My hair is wet right now because I went down to the Bear River, down by the Dog Bar Bridge that crosses the road. I wanted to spend a little of bit of time before coming here just to have that -- just to have the
healthy words, and if anybody hasn't been there recently, I highly recommend.

It's a beautiful place. You go down to Dog Bar Bridge, and you take that trail up -- up the river, and it's extremely beautiful, and it's a really good reminder just how lucky we are to live in this incredibly, beautiful place.

I came across something really wonderful. The mission of NID is to provide dependable and quality water source and strive to be good stewards of the watersheds and conserve the available resources.

That's a really beautiful statement, and I think that all of us can relate to that second half, at least. To strive to be good stewards of the watershed and conserve the available resources.

I did notice that nowhere in that statement does it talk about demolishing people's houses, and as far as I can tell, you are all very good people assigned to do what's best for the community, so I can appreciate what you are doing. I'm sure it's not easy.

I've listened to the things that people have said at these meetings, and I wanted to share something different, and it reminded me of an 80 year old pilot that I met on an aerial photography expedition, and he told me this story.

He said, Jeff, for the first 30 years of my life, I worked in the Army Corps of Engineers, and my job was to take water out of the Colorado River, and it took me 30 years to realize that $I$ was doing it wrong.

And then he spent the next 30 years of his life trying to bring water back to the Colorado River because everything had been so depleted from those actions, and he told me that he carries that guilt with him.

And so I can't imagine what it must feel like to lose your home. That must be something terrible, and furthermore, $I$ can't imagine what it feels like to force someone to lose their home. That must be a heavy burden to carry.

And I would like to offer to all of you at NID working on this project that if you haven't seen -- you haven't seen that stretch of the Bear River, I'd actually like to invite you on a rafting trip. I would love to share with all of you working on this project -my friend owns a rafting company up here. We'll bring you down, we'll do it safely, it's an extraordinary time, and I would really love for you to see that area.

And, likewise, if there's anyone here that might be listening who's home is going to be lost if this dam takes place, you might consider inviting everyone on that team to come see your home, so that way
it makes it a little bit more real, and that way you know what's at stake.

I hope that each of you will go to that Dog Bar Bridge and just walk upstream and spend some time there. It's extraordinarily beautiful, especially if you are not familiar with the area.

In the words of Robert Frost, two roads diverged in the wood, and I, I took the road less traveled by, and that is what makes all the difference.

Now, NID could go down this road towards this dam to demolish 25 homes of our citizens, to divide our community on projects that will have minimal gain, to worsen global climate change by the methane that will be released by the reservoir to kill a river, one of our most cherished places that we go with our friends and family, to ruin the opportunity for our future sons and daughters to know -- to know and love this beautiful river, or NID has the possibility to do something great to this community and to be stewards of these rivers.

Thank you very much.
MR. WOLLAN: Hi, I'm Otis Wollan. W-O-L-L-A-N.
I live on Boat Ranch Road, right at the edge of the proposed reservoir. I served from 1987 to 2008 as the Elected President of Placer County Water Agency. Living on the river and knowing something about
water, I don't think there's a whole lot of water running down the Bear River, so I took a real good look at that. I went to the reservoir down below Camp Far West and said, how much water is spilling over Camp Far West.

So I did a case study and found out that seven of the last nine years virtually no water spills over to Camp Far West, which effectively means that there's enough water in the Bear River for one dam and not two. NID is very confident in its presentations that their water rights trump the water rights of South Sutter Water District, and that may be true, but to the degree that Camp Far West is drawn down by water diverted upstream by NID, to that degree, the ground water basin in Western Placer County is going to be overdrafted.

And the way that works is before Camp Far West, Western Placer groundwater basin was dropping one to three feet per year until it was over 60 feet depleted, and basically the State of California and regional entities got together, built Camp Far West to supply the rice farmers with a cheap supply of surface water so they can get off of groundwater.

They viewed divert water above Camp Far West. The farmers do not go out of business. They simply turn
on the pumps that were there before Camp Far West, and they're ready to be turned on again if Nevada Irrigation District fills its reservoir and prevents South Sutter from filling theirs.

I suppose it's some kind of odd measure of wealth in the United States that we can actually build two dams and not only then have only enough water to fill one.

So I suppose that's some kind of odd testament to our abundance, but basically this is all in the Western Groundwater Management Plan that has been completed in 2007 by Placer County Water Agency details of this particular problem.

So just repeating again, to the degree gallon for gallon almost if you take water out of Camp Far West, it will be pumped out of the ground, and you will be overdrafting the groundwater basin under the Cities of Lincoln and Roseville.

And I would be willing to bet that this NOP was not circulated to anyone in Western or Placer County, much less the cities of Roseville, PCWA, the City of Lincoln and the California American Water Company, much less, every single landowner and farmer out there that owns a well who is threatened by overdraft in groundwater depletion.

So this is a very serious impact of Western Placer and has not been mentioned.

The gentleman I heard last night who said Roseville is replenishing the groundwater. They, in fact, do. They take shoulder water from their treatment plant and inject it into the ground.

They're putting treated water into the ground. If this dam happens, South Sutter, Camp Far West dries up, there is going to be huge and enormous impact to Western Placer.

So I think this needs to be addressed. As a matter of fact, $I$ think it's such a failing of this particular process, that that whole series of stakeholders neither was circulated the NOP, nor were they provided an opportunity to have a public hearing in their area to talk specifically about this problem.

So just a little piece on this, if there's not enough water to fill one reservoir, and you're going to build two, somebody is going to be really low.

If it's the reservoir up here Centennial, I'm going to be living next to an open pit mine. It's going to be empty.

Every year, a hundred thousand acre feet of water from that reservoir will draw down 120 feet. If you've seen Rollins down eight or ten feet, you know
that it's not a pretty picture.
Have you ever seen a reservoir down a 120 feet? Take a look at Oroville in fall of 2015, take a look at Stanislaus, some pictures of that. By all means, take a look at this stuff. This is a very serious proposal. Has impacts far and wide.

I'll leave these two elements of -- Groundwater Management Plan, you can get a picture of the major stakeholders, that every well is a stakeholder, deserves to be informed, deserves the feedback.

MR. ALTMAN: David Altman, A-L-T-M-A-N.
In December 2014 I attended one of the NID board meetings, and I outlined a number of concerns, such as propaganda, wells, protect groundwater.

I have a focus, and I won't go into it now, but I have a couple new issues that I would like to just bring some concern about.

One, if the Dog Bar process is in place, crossing over the dam, thus taking the traffic through Meadow Vista. Because the roads actually are better from I-80 through Meadow Vista and then here in to Combie, as opposed to the Cirby Dog Bar reservoir, I believe that a lot of traffic is going use the Meadow Vista route as a shortcut, and therefore, there will be significant impact on Downtown Meadow Vista, and I hope
some mitigation will be considered for that.
The other item that just came to my attention, and I was not at the Meadow Vista MAC meeting the other day, but it was my understanding that the Placer County Supervisors are looking to -- for a replacement for the Bear River campground, and a discussion regarding building a park on Peaceful Valley Road, which is the road I live on.

My house will be underwater, so it doesn't matter for me, but the neighbors will be there still.

Peaceful Valley Road right now is a one-lane road, privately owned. The people that live there have to contain it, and I certainly hope that if a park is being put in there, that as part of this project, that NID will assume responsibility for the maintenance of the road, and that improvements will be made to make it safe for two-way traffic.

If you've ever been to Hidden Falls on a Saturday, Hidden Falls trails on Saturday, it's mayhem of traffic all the way from Park out Mt. Vernon Road, and the homeowners there suffer consequences of people trespassing by their property.

The other issue is back to the park on Peaceful Valley Road. The other concerns would be noise, especially if it incorporates the campground, for the
people that live there and how that will be handled and security.

Bear River campground right now, sometimes the people living there are not the friendliest group.

Thank you.
MR. BUTYRIN: Hi, my name is Larry Butyrin, $B-U-T-Y-R-I-N$.

I've been a resident generally in the area for about 40 years, in the same place for 33 years, or longer.

I've used all these areas for recreation, and just generally seen it. I've also -- there's --

Some of the experts here that spoke already about the drawdown of the water. I've driven across Combie Lake, and it's just a mud pit, and that's exactly what's to going happen with all this.

There is just not enough water to supply all these reservoirs. If the water's down, it's down. I mean, you can't just keep putting little drops here and there and expect it to supply all these different areas.

It just seems to me that NID wants to sell water downstream. I don't really think it's going to benefit anything. I think it's going to do a lot of destruction, and like some of the experts said, it's just going to be just a mud pit.

Rollins Lake has been really low. If you can't keep that full, how are you going to keep this one full? It's just another senseless project.

There's also -- the conservation measures.
Talk about them, but yet we have a lot of high-end homes that go in with a lot of high-end landscaping that takes a lot of water and put in a lot of golf courses that uses huge amounts of water, and what, just to knock a little ball around.

That's where we need to cut the water usage, not to be putting in more reservoirs that supply that kind of usage. So it's a really bad idea.

Thank you.
MR. WAUTERS: Hello. My name is William
Wauters, $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{U}-\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{S}$.
I've been a Placer County resident since 1949 for the last 40 years in the Clipper Gap area.

I know a bit about what boards, special districts should do, and I know a bit about recreation by virtue of having served two terms as an elected board member of the Auburn Recreational District.

I will have extensive comments on that.
Right now I am calling your process into question just like Otis did. I had no idea where this place was.

Gayle Russell, did you get here? No. I saw Gayle Russell driving around. It says, Forest Lake Christian School way over there. So at least one person who also grew up in the Auburn area could not find this place.

The absolute contempt you're showing for the residents of Placer County by not even having a meeting in Placer County is calling your questionable outreach -- it is bogus.

You don't care about us because we don't even vote in your district, because we don't, but yet Placer County will have most of the negative effects.

MS. FORDYCE: Rebecca Fordyce, F-O-R-D-Y-C-E.
I'm not affiliated with the lake, only when $I$ need to be, and I'd like to say that Dave -- is it David? Yes. You said it all. I mean, that's incredible.

I'm a fisher person. I go to Fort Bragg and fish, and I've seen the fish dwindle. There's no salmon, there's none. You can boat all day with about 30 to 60 fisherman out there, and two fish were caught all week.

And the fish that's not there, you used to get buckets of that with nets. There's no fish, so what I'm saying is in the $20 t h$ Century, we should be tearing down
the dams because they're not working.
The food chain isn't doing us any good, and they are already tearing down some dams, and we're going to build one for, like he said, homes, golf courses.

The golf courses in Grass Valley, they don't use reclaimed -- what do you call it -- sewer water, whatever it is, you know, that's stuff that goes down into the ground in my house.

I do live on the Bear River. I have ten acres. I'm between the day use and the campground, the night use. I can see the gravel bar.

First I was for the lake. Too many people were being displaced, but to listen to the people, it's the people's river.

There's a lot of wildlife there. There's the new one that just recently found -- I just seen a couple of years, was the Ringtail Cat. Not very many people know about it, but the Ringtail Cat is very adorable, and the miners use them in their -- in the mines for, whatever. They were their pets, I think. I have a couple running around my place, and some neighbors in Placer County have some in their yard just up from the river and stuff.

So there's a lot of wildife. Otters, of course, the deer. I can't have any. I don't have a
rose garden because anything I plant outside, has to be covered. I don't have fences to block anything, except for around my little garden.

The other thing is is that NID, you can't find out -- I don't understand the government part of them. They're a government, they're a non-government, they're a quasi-agency.

It seems like all their material that they are trying to provide to us, which they're not, it's not in their -- what do you -- their -- their records for public knowledge.

We don't know where water is going.
Personally, I think it's going down to the San Joaquin, Placer County, Sacramento Valley. I think they'll be sending the water there.

I also believe that the drawdowns on the river are going to be so extreme, that at some point they're going to be taking water out of the Yuba River.

The Yuba River also has salmon on it, and we have issues with the Delta. The Delta Ocean waters are coming up into the Delta too far, and it's wiping out a lot of land and the wildlife, everything that the ecosystem for the fishery.

So we need to not divert any of water out of Yuba River for this project to fill this lake. The
drawdowns are going to be too -- too large and the snow pack is snow pack.

I came through Truckee. I lived there 24 years. I think I moved to Tahoe in '77. It was a drought year. My first year in the snow. Three feet was a lot of snow for me, and then $182,1,2$, we had huge amounts of snow. It shut down the railroad; it shut down the freeways. Those years have come back.

They may not be in the next two to three, but personally I really don't think we need more water to be diverted to some other counties that are subsidized farmers to grow, to not grow, and we have to conserve our water when we can.

That's it.
MR. DUCKETT: Last name is Duckett, D-U-C-K-E-T-T, and I'm mainly on the other side.

When I first heard about this, I got excited because for most of my life I've seen California not do anything as far as building new reservoirs, and basically trying to help the Congress, help the people that are moving into this state.

I know the reservoirs have been low lately, but what we need to do is catch that water when you do have water. Not only in one winter but in a bunch of winters.

I know it's hard when you're on the river, and it's your home and your land being taken, and I feel for that, but I also -- I'm also against the not my backyard type of a thing.

Looking at the big picture of California in general and the lack of water, $I$ was in India in January on a medical mission. They have the same problem; they have a drought, and we cannot drink their water.

There's no way Americans can touch that water. They couldn't -- they usually grow two crops a year. They couldn't because they can only grow one because they have no storage.

In California storage is the key, and farmers are being rationed. If this reservoir goes down and supplies farms in the Valley, great. I'm --

We are Californians. We're not just Nevada County or Placer County, and we really have to look at the big picture of this state going forward.

MR. BENNETT: Steve Bennett. $B-E-N-N-E-T-T$. What this gentleman just said. I'm on that side as well.

I think if you looked at Nevada Irrigation District, the forefathers that the first speaker talked about, Jackson Meadows. We built Jackson Meadows -without having those reservoirs, we wouldn't have Lake
of the Pines, we wouldn't have a Combie reservoir, you wouldn't have houses on the Combie reservoir.

The foresight that they saw back in the day -Thanks.

So I think that the NID back in the day had the foresight to build storage that provided agriculture, irrigation, domestic water, and I think they are doing the right thing looking at what we need to do going forward.

They're going through the right process.
They're going through CEQA, they're going through the proper process, but I think that --

The gentleman mentioned rafting. I've kayaked that river many times. I live just on the other side of Placer County, but I think -- other side of the Bear River. I think they're doing the right thing looking forward for their district. That is their policy, that's their charge, and they mitigate, they look at the opportunities to -- for recreation and other things.

But -- thank you very much.
MS. CANANN: Hi. I'm Cathy Canann,
C-A-N-A-N-N. I'm 16 years old, and I currently reside in Nevada County.

Today I'm going to talk about the fish. Fish ladders only work on 50 percent of species, and you guys
aren't even planning on building one right now, and if you do, a warm water reservoir isn't going to provide these fish with the habitat they need.

I'm going to talk about eminent domain.
I talked to Nick Wilcox, who is the Director of Nevada Irrigation District, and I asked him, why do you think eminent domain is okay, and he said, because we've been doing it for centuries, and I think that is not okay. Just because we've been doing it for centuries, doesn't mean we can keep doing it.

This is going to destroy six miles of oak forest, which I am not okay with. That is just immoral to me.

The mercury from mining is going to come up when we drain all this water down to this dam, and there's not going to be any water in between the three dams that we're going to have.

The water that we plan on collecting is based on past precipitation measures, and we can't see the future. Like, why do you think we're going to get the same precipitation we got awhile ago?

The methane that is going to be released from building this dam is going to make climate change worse. I talked to SYRCL, a person from SYRCL, and he told me that the Nevada Irrigation District only provides five
percent of the water for Nevada County, so where is this water going? Who are the customers? Because I looked it up, and I can't find out where this water is actually going.

This is a 1927 idea that you want to put in for 2023? I don't think that's okay. I don't -- and you guys don't even have very, like, knowledgeable points that you have research. You just kind of brush over your presentation, and if you've been planning this since 1927, why do you not know what you're doing?

David and Rebecca have put concerns for the fish, so the fish are really important to me, and with eminent domain, you're not only kicking out the 25 families, you're also kicking out the homeless people that live by the river, and I don't know, are you guys going to provide them with support because -- because they always get forgotten.

Plus, how is this going to affect indigenous people and indigenous rights. What are the socioeconomic situation of all the people that are going to be negatively impacted, and what is the socioeconomic situation and background of these so-called customers? Who are NID's customers, and where is the water going? Thank you.

MS. SPACEK: My name is Kaley Spacek,
$S-P-A-C-E-K$.
I'd like to start by saying, I hadn't planned on speaking when $I$ first attended the Environmental Impact Report last night, but after attending the EIR in Grass Valley, I felt compelled. Honestly, I don't know whether I was feeling empowered by my other peers who spoke last night or feeling indignant or contempt for the old man that told us, remember, girls, don't bite the hand that feeds you as he walked out the door. Either way, I have things to say.

When Nick Wilcox of the NID spoke to my classmates and I at the Woolman Semester School, he spoke of the great pros of the dam. There was increased water storage, increased habitat, have no negative effects on fish, boost agriculture, and more, while the conflicts were brushed over, like how the dam would be destroying six miles of oak forest and destroying homes.

He chucked when we questioned his -- for taking our concerns seriously. When a classmate of mine asked why he thought it was okay to use eminent domain over others, he simply laughed and replied, well, we've been doing it for centuries.

Doing something for centuries may be an excuse, but it's far from a valid one. Destroying habitat and putting endangered species at greater risk is not
justifiable because it's been happening for centuries, and neither is removing families from homes where they've been living for years and years.

Speaking of which, when Nick Wilcox spoke to our class, we were told that the residents of Bear River were mostly wealthy people using their houses as summer homes. Based on a speaker from last night, this is false.

There are people who have been living there for over 25 years. People who have raised their children and grandchildren there, and I honestly feel like it's an insult to them to ignore the reality of people living along the river.

Being originally from a very project-focused school in Sacramento, I've seen long drawn-out presentations of project proposals. As soon as we are expected to go very in depth about the effects of a project, who will be harmed from the project and who will benefit from it.

Every sophomore lesson completes gateways, which are two-hour presentations focused entirely on going in depth on the lay questions, while still being pushed by teachers to do more and think more.

We are not allowed to just graze over the effects in detail of our projects, or we know we're
about to drilled by our peers for it.
The pros briefly presented to us by Nick Wilcox and later presented at the EIR report seem embelished while the cons seem to barely be taken seriously.

If 15 and 16 year olds can be responsible enough and capable of such thought to be incredibly in-depth on projects as simple as an open mic night or benefit for charity, why are the NID incapable of doing so.

Quite frankly, it is difficult to not feel scorn for adults much older than I who have not presented a complicated project as well as my peers.

I don't doubt that the NID has considered the project more, but I don't feel like they've entirely shown it to us. I digress.

I have questions for the NID that are not addressed on the website or just brushed over in their presentation.

Who are the people that are really benefitting from this dam? How many people are really going to be displaced from their homes? By this, I include the homeless living near the river, not just the landowners.

Does the NID have any plans to help the homeless in the camps along the river that will be displace by making this dam, or are the homeless going
to be ignored as they nearly always are?
How are the indigenous people in the area are going to be affected? And does the NID plan on helping them in any way for issues by the dam as well?

How many endangered species are going to put at greater risk with the destruction of habitat, and on that note, how does it make sense if destroying six miles of forest increase habitat?

How is this dam going to affect fish migration? Is the NID taking its consideration of the native salmon on the river can not live in a warm water lake needing cold river to migrate to populate?

How are animals supposed to migrate across a six-mile lake? Are there plans to building a bridge, since the current one across the river is going to be flooded if the dam is built. How is this going to affect rivers and fish further downstream?

And a speaker earlier brought up, why is there no meeting being held in Placer County when these people -- when there are more people being directly affected by it?

There are so many unanswered questions when it comes to this project, and I'm pretty sure the NID has left more than any project I've ever seen as a high schooler. You would think for a dam thought up nearly a
century ago, they would have figured more of this out.
Thank you.
MS. NOLL: My name is Kate Noll. I am from Austin, Texas. I'm a high school senior, and I am currently attending the Woolman Semester School with the two students that y'all just heard from before me, and I realize that some of this might seem a bit redundant since it's already been said by my classmates, but I think it is very important that our classroom is being moved to the community.

As a resident of Texas, $I$ am no stranger to droughts. We have been in serious drought conditions since 2010, and so I am no stranger to lack of groundwater, dried up wells and water restrictions.

I have many questions. Like I said, they may be redundant, but I am grateful for the opportunity from the NID to voice my concerns.

The questions that $I$ am going to ask, I also asked last night at the meeting in Grass Valley. I have found no reliable source to answer these questions. The NID has not been truly transparent about the negative impacts of this reservoir. When concerns are brought to light, even if the people voicing them are less than half their age, doesn't give them the go ahead to shut those concerns down simply because of the people voicing
them.
Water demand is increasing, and that's no joke to California residents; nor should it be treated like one. Another fact that is no joke to the residents of California is lack of water.

What is the process of decreased water demand? If water demand is decreased, would there be a need for the dam in the first place? What have been the measures to conserve water, and how have they been regulated?

Who will benefit the most from the dam? What is the socioeconomic status of the people benefited by the dam?

It seems as though there is significant amount of environmental injustice in regards to those who are benefitting from the reservoir, such as the water being used by high-end landscaping and golf courses.

As told by Nick Wilcox in his presentation from our science class, the houses being displaced were mostly the summer homes of the financially wealthy, but it is clear that this is not the case.

How does the location of the dam impact the community surrounding it? How is the location even determined? Will there be compensation for the people being removed from their homes, and who is being displaced from their homes because of the dam?

How will this dam impact the lives of the indigenous people in this area, and has there been consideration for this? What are the plans for protection from mercury poisoning? How will the people in lower income communities be given compensation for the health effects of consuming mercury?

What kind of effect -- effort is being made to preserve the already dwindling population of salmon that has already been on the decline since the Gold Rush when there was already an extreme amount of mercury runoff that was put into the water system?

If the displacement of people's homes and livelihoods that have been established for generations isn't enough of a reason not to build a dam, think about the long-term effects of more mercury buildup in the water and how that will impact the lives of future generations, my generation and the generation of my children and y'alls grandchildren. If that's not enough, I don't know what is.

As Catherine said, it's not always going to rain, and this dam is depending on past rainfall to fill up the reservoir, there is no guarantee that it's going to rain, despite the rain we have been getting here in this area this week.

But if there's a thought just because it's been
raining a ton this week, let me just say that thinking there will be no more drought because it rained at your house today is like saying that hunger doesn't exist anymore because we ate today.

A 110,000 acre feet of water is a ton of water this area already doesn't have, and creating a reservoir isn't going to magically make water here. Instead of making accommodations for the current water usage, building a reservoir so we can keep our golf courses and lawn a plush green, we should be looking at water conservation and ways of life that are cohesive to adapting to the current water situation.

Again, there is no proof that the NID is supplying water to the people or small towns, and based off of this, the environmental and public impact, and supposedly feel good eminent domain cause of, we've been doing this for years isn't good enough.

Thank you.
MR. PARKS: Jeff Parks. P-A-R-K-S.
I'm here on behalf of the State Water Resources Control Board, and I apologize, I wasn't able to be at the meeting last night. I really hope they're some other agency folks hiding out there.

My comments are just as much for NID as they are for the public, and that's just to let you know that
the State Water Resources Control Board is here and is paying attention. We are going to be very involved in water rights aspects of this project -- in the water rights aspect of this project.

And we're also going to be the ones doing the 401 Water Quality Certification for this project, so we intend to be very involved with all aspects of the CEQA process, and I'm glad to see everybody out here, and hope to see this many people when we're doing our -public parts of the -- our process in this project.

Thank you.
MS. CHALPIN: My name is Susan Chalpin.
C-H-A-L-P-I-N. I've been a Nevada County resident for 27 years. I live in Chicago Park area.

I have some questions for NID, and I have some comments.

One question, where is the proposed water going? And I don't believe it's going for the citizens of Nevada County. It's got to be going downstream, right?

And how do you propose to mitigate flooding Heritage Oaks? There's a lot of Heritage Oaks in that corridor upstream of the proposed well site, I mean, well site, dam site.

I don't know if people here know that

90 percent of NID water goes for irrigation, ten percent goes for domestic use, and a lot of that irrigation water, it's not restricted on how it's used.

You can run rain -- 24 hours a day, during the day a lot of that water transpires, goes back into the atmosphere. There's also a problem in a lot of the unlined ditches. They're not shock treated, they're not covered, and a lot of that water transpires and goes into the ground.

I really don't see the conservation measure. You mentioned conservation. I don't see that going on. I think instead of building a dam, NID needs to concentrate on conservation not only in their dispersal methodology, but in requirements for the people that are current irrigation users, and I'm one of them.

The other thing that $I$ think everybody should be knowledgeable about is if the groundwater is going down, downstream of Placer County, one of the things that all the health departments are struggling with right now is there's no restriction on the number of wells that a private person can drill on their parcel. So if somebody has ten acres, they can drill ten wells, they can drill 20 wells. DWR does not require a mitigation on the number of those wells. That's it. That's all $I$ have tonight.

MR. COULTER: Hello. Coulter. C-O-U-L-T-E-R.
I have a couple of questions for the audience, actually, if that's okay.

How many people have rain barrels? I'm kind of curious. How many people let the water run? How many people let the water run when they brush their teeth? How many people have drip irrigation?

On the organization of these meetings, I don't use a computer, but I did Google how to get here tonight, so I knew how to get here. I called NID to ask them how to get here, and they gave me wrong directions on how to get here, and, you know, it was okay. I knew where I was going, but I think a lot of other people didn't.

I've travelled around the world, the globe both directions, and $I$ consider myself kind of a desert rat, so I do enjoy the desert environment, see how desert people live, as well as mountain people, and I feel like with conservation and using your resources properly, you can get away with not having a lot of resources but living very well.

A little worried about a dam with an earthquake situation. They keep talking about the big one; it hasn't happened yet, but eventually it will.

I wrote a great article in the San Francisco

Chronicle the other day about the Klamath rivers, taking down the dams up there. There is also the Carmel River and the amount it costs to store that river and take that dam down, which was pretty extreme, and the amount of work that it took to do it.

So it just seems like we're kind of going backwards by talking about putting dams up versus taking dams down.

High intensity water drops down the hill. Cotton, almonds, all these type of things are taking a lot of water, but I'd just like to say, I feel very blessed to live in this Country where we can have these discussions, as well as the fact that it's raining right now.

I'm an ordained minister, and I consider my church to be nature. I spend a lot of time in nature every day, and $I$ just feel like the things that we take for granted, all the animals that we don't understand their language, are not allowed to speak on this, and I think they would have something to say if they were allowed to speak on this.

And one final thing, I love NID for the fact that my fondest memories of this area over the 45 years I've lived here, fishing and all their NID ditches and different places, and the largest trout I've ever seen
anywhere in this county, actually, even out of Jackson or any other reservoirs was right out of the Bear River, 24 inches long by a friend of mine, and he was pretty proud, and I was pretty proud to be his friend.

Thank you.
MR. KRAG: Good evening. Chuck Krag. K-R-A-G. 30 -year resident of South Nevada County.

Several points I'd like to emphasize. Some of these have been covered in another way by other people, but what really strikes me what NID is doing here is almost you can call it cart before the horse.

We don't have our -- our water rights nailed down. We haven't studied alternatives, and yet we have already spent and are committing hundreds of thousands of dollars. I don't understand this.

I think -- I think there ought to be a pause, and a lot of the questions that are being asked tonight, need to have answers, they need to have answers before the formal EIR project or study really gets going.

Now, as far as study alternatives, it is the usual practice in doing EIR's that you study alternatives in addition to what you start out with as a desired project.

And what we haven't heard about, either in the presentations, or otherwise, is increasing the capacity
of existing reservoirs, either by dredging or by raising the dam, improvement water retention and slow release of the forest head waters and mountain meadows.

There's a dramatic demonstration that has occurred in the last five years. It's not in the NID district. It's in another water district, but my wife and I go up there and check on it a couple of times every year. And it's amazing what has been done for not a whole lot of money to get a meadow working again, like it ought to, and it builds up and stores water and does its slow release in the summer.

Now, funding. Obviously, you can tell I'm concerned about that.

In the literature that NID has put out, they thought 200, $\$ 300$ million to build Centennial Dam. It will probably be more than that. Most public projects are.

Now, I remember in -- living here for 30 years, for most of those 30 years, I always had an NID tax on my property tax bill, and yet, I had no access to any benefit that NID might -- might provide, okay? No water, whatever.

So one of my questions is, if the Centennial Dam goes forward, I think the EIR clearly ought to look at how do some of the people in the Nevada County who
have been paying off the past bond measures, and likely be hit again for this one, how do they individually benefit by getting access to the water that they've been wanting for years and years and years?

Now, a comment on communications.
I think it was about eight months ago my wife and I spent an evening when Nick Wilcox, one of the board members, made a presentation about -- about what they were thinking on this, and what they wanted to do, and at that point in time, we were invited to sign up, and we'd be on the list where we'd get regular communications for new information on this project.

I got my first one in eight months about three weeks ago, and it was the announcement of this meeting, so $F$ for communications on that count.

Second thing is I spent several hours today on the NID website trying to find out more of the details on this, and so help me, there is not enough information, not enough specifics at all at this point in time to even starting the EIR because we don't even know some of the questions we ought to be asking, including the scope of an EIR, so I think the whole process needs to be slowed down, more information needs to be given to the public.

MR. SILVERMAN: Hi. My name is Isaac

Silverman. S-I-L-V-E-R-M-A-N.
So I grew up in Placer County on the Bear
River. Fortunately my family home is downstream, Combie reservoir, so it's not being inundated.

However, areas of the river that will be flooded have deep, deep meaning for me. It's where I grew up with my brother, with my friends, and those are things that can't be replaced. You can't mitigate away those memories that we all value.

That's one thing I wanted to say.
The other thing I wanted to say is it's partly a comment to NID and it's partly addressed to everybody in the audience.

We have been hearing a lot of lingo like when this dam is built, when the issue of a statement of overriding consideration for this dam.

The mere fact that we're here today, the CEQA process, means that that's not the right words, those are not the right words. It's if this dam is built, this land would be funded. CEQA only applies to discretionary actions.

There are lot of agencies, Federal agencies. There's NID itself, they have choices in this matter, and filling rooms like these and expressing our concerns is going to be the only way that we can make those
correct decisions, so my hope is to see a lot of you in the future.

MS. BARKYDT: Laura Barkydt.
I didn't intend to speak. Obviously, that's why I'm last, but I wanted to just say to everybody here that I'm -- I really care about the same things that you all care about, which is difficult for me, because having the water is really important to my family.

We are farmers and ranchers here in Nevada
County. We do receive water on the Combie Lake right now, and so we were looking at probably some cutbacks with the drought, and if the cutbacks happen, and they continue to happen, we won't be able to have our farm and ranch because our wells just will not cut.

I want this for my kids and my grandkids. We want to pass it down and keep our -- our ranch going into the future, so having water storage is important, but I intend to go to all of the meetings I can, both sides. I want to hear what it's all about, and I think we all need to be able to make really informed choices and not become so emotional at the mere thought of that we just block everything out.

It's important to all of us or many of the same users.

Thank you.
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